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This newsletter was created by Lauren Browning, Natalie Ulrich, and Jolie Wormwood of the SPR committee to Promote Student Interests and is sent to current student and general members. Please forward to your own students and any interested colleagues!

Upcoming Opportunities and Deadlines

SPR Call for Abstracts!
Are you excited about your latest research results and want to present them to the audience at the upcoming 55th annual SPR meeting in Seattle, WA? The submission portal for abstracts for posters and symposia is now open! Abstracts can be submitted until Wednesday, April 1st. For further information, please visit the SPR website (https://sprweb.org/meeting/2015/abstracts.cfm).

Think your research is top-notch? Posters by student authors can be considered for one of SPR’s student poster awards (just select that you want your poster to be considered when you submit it). You can read an interview with one of the 2014 recipients, Christian Panitz, and hear about the judging process and criteria from past-president Dr. Alfons Hamm in the Fall 2014 (Vol 20) Student Newsletter!

SPR Student Travel Award
Are you a PhD student and want to attend the SPR meeting in Seattle but are short on travel funds? Apply for the student travel award when submitting your conference contribution. SPR has allocated funds for 30 travel awards. Fifteen of these are specifically reserved for award winners within North America, who will receive $500 USD to assist with their travel accommodations while attending the SPR Annual Meeting. The other 15 awards are reserved for non-North American members who will receive $1000 USD. For more information on past recipients and eligibility criteria, visit the SPR website (https://www.sprweb.org/student/awards/index.cfm).

SPR Research Fellowship Training Awards
Would you like to visit the lab of an SPR member to learn new skills and techniques? Consider applying for an SPR Research Fellowship Training Award from The Education and Training Committee and the Committee to Promote Student Interests. These awards allow students or postdocs to obtain mentorship/training in psychophysiological assessment/analysis with experts in the field, which they could not get at their home institution. This could involve travel to a remote site or travel expenses for a remote mentor to visit the applicant's lab. Each application may include a budget of up to $5,000 U.S. (although smaller budgeted applications are encouraged and would allow for more applications to be funded). The deadline for applications will be July 1, 2015, and recipients will be announced at the Saturday Business Luncheon at the conference in Seattle. Eligibility for the award requires attendance at the 2015 SPR meeting. Further information regarding eligibility criteria and the application process are available on the SPR website (https://sprweb.org/student/awards/index.cfm). See the Fall 2014 (Vol 20) Student Newsletter to read an interview with recent Research Fellowship Training Award recipient, Blair Saunders, PhD!
The Upcoming 55th Annual SPR Conference in Seattle, WA

This year’s SPR conference will be held in Seattle, Washington from September 30 - October 4, 2015, at the Seattle Westin Hotel. In this section we have compiled some information for you regarding events for students at the conference and also things to do and see in Seattle. Take a minute to see what this year’s SPR meeting and Seattle have to offer!

SOME EVENTS OF INTEREST FOR STUDENTS AT THE SPR CONFERENCE

Pre-Conference Workshops
Three pre-conference workshops will be offered at the 2015 annual SPR conference in Seattle. This year’s workshop topics are “Brainstorm EEG/MEG Analysis Toolbox” (led by Stephan Moratti), “Multilevel Modeling” (led by Elizabeth Page-Gould), and “Using Commercial Ambulatory Devices to Record Physiology in the Real World” (led by Julian Thayer and Greg Siegle). Additional information about content and dates for the pre-conference workshops will be available soon at https://www.sprweb.org/meeting/2015/preconferenceworkshops.cfm. You can register for pre-conference workshops when filling in your online registration for the conference (available soon!).

Meeting with the Committee to Promote Student Interests
Want to get to know the members of the Committee to Promote Student Interests and get involved yourself? We warmly invite you to come and attend the meeting of the Committee to Promote Students Interests on Friday evening! Specific time and location will be announced as the conference draws nearer.

Meeting for International Students
The International Students Subcommittee will hold a meeting for international student members of the SPR. Talk about your needs and wishes as an international student member of SPR! Furthermore, you can also get in touch with host labs interested in international exchange and learn more about the planned exchange forum on the SPR website. The meeting will take place Thursday morning, during the first coffee break.

Saturday Night Social
Of course, also don’t miss the SPR Saturday Night Social, featuring the SPR blues band! Dance and have a good time with SPR members of all levels. Watch a video of the SPR Blues Band’s very first performance in 1999 at the 39th annual SPR conference in Granada, Spain (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9dXS_mLN9BI), and don’t miss them in Seattle!

Early Career Conversation Hour
Do you have questions regarding a career in academia? This is the event to attend, regardless of whether you are an undergraduate, graduate or postgraduate. Engage with a panel of 5-8 SPR members and ask all of your pressing questions about life as an early career academic! The discussion typically focuses on the needs and questions of the attending early career members, with topics ranging from time management tips to how much involvement in teaching is advisable at an early career stage. The meeting will take place on Thursday evening and food will be provided! Advanced registration is requested, so please register when more information about this year’s SPR program is sent out. If you have suggestions or questions concerning this event, please contact the chair of the Early Career Subcommittee, Philip Gable (pagable@gmail.com)

Roundtable Discussions
Looking to get some more information on a specific methodological issue while having lunch in a small group? Consider joining one of the Luncheon Roundtable Discussions organized by the Education and Training Committee on Thursday. This year’s topics will be announced closer to the conference, but previous topics have included “Simultaneous EEG and fMRI measurement” and “Multilevel Modeling”. The roundtable discussions require advanced registration, but you can register for this event when registering for the conference.

Women in Science and Education Luncheon
On Friday, the Women in Science Committee will host the “Women in Science and Education” Luncheon. This year’s Luncheon will also feature a guest speaker, Andrei Cimpian, who has written about the psychological mechanisms involved in academic gender bias. The Luncheon also offers an opportunity to pose questions and openly discuss topics related to the needs and experiences of women in science, so all SPR members are encouraged to attend! You can register for this event when registering for the conference.

**General Business Meeting and Luncheon**
Students are welcome to attend the SPR Business Meeting and Luncheon on Saturday! Tickets can be bought when registering for the conference.

**Friday Night Student Social**
Don’t miss the SPR Student Social on Friday night! This is always one of the highlights of the conference and offers the opportunity to have a good time with fellow SPR student members. This event typically includes free drinks and food, and is put together by members of the Committee to Promote Student Interests. Keep an eye out for more details concerning this year’s Student Social in the upcoming Spring 2015 Student Newsletter!

**THINGS TO DO IN SEATTLE**

SPR members Lauritz Dieckman and Haley Carroll have graciously compiled an extensive list of places to see and things to do in Seattle. Please see their full list ([Things To Do in Seattle](http://www.thepinkdoor.net)) for suggestions on food, bars, music, art, and much more! The list includes addresses, websites and walking distances from the conference site. Here are a few just highlights we can’t wait to experience for ourselves:

**FOOD**

**The Pink Door** ([http://thepinkdoor.net](http://thepinkdoor.net))
Home-spun Italian-American food in an upscale atmosphere. A Seattle classic!

**Dick’s Drive-In** ([www.ddir.com](http://www.ddir.com))
No funds for a fancy meal? That doesn’t mean you have to miss out on taste! Try another Seattle classic, Dick’s Drive-in, for tasty burgers and hand-dipped shakes.

**NIGHT LIFE**

**Bath-tub Gin** ([www.bathtubginseattle.com](http://www.bathtubginseattle.com))
Check out this local speakeasy between 1st & 2nd, 50 ft. North of Blanchard St. Look for the wooden door!

**Tula’s Restaurant and Jazz Club** ([www.tulas.com](http://www.tulas.com))
The hot-spot for Seattle Jazz, this club features live music from top jazz artists 7 nights a week and is only minutes from the conference locale.

**ATTRactions**

**The Space Needle** ([www.spaceneedle.com](http://www.spaceneedle.com))
Seattle’s best known landmark is less than a 16 minute walk from the conference hotel. Don’t miss out on these spectacular views of the city!

**Olympic Sculpture Park** ([http://www.seattleartmuseum.org/visit/olympic-sculpture-park](http://www.seattleartmuseum.org/visit/olympic-sculpture-park))
Appreciating art while enjoying the fresh air more your style? Don’t miss the Olympic Sculpture Park which is free and open to the general public.
An Interview with Dr. Karen Quigley, 
President of the Society for Psychophysiological Research

1. How did you get into the field of Psychophysiology? You completed your bachelor’s degree in Zoology. What made you decide to pursue Psychobiology for your graduate degrees?

My route from zoology to psychophysiology was a bit circuitous. As an undergraduate, I did work on cognition in chimpanzees, a good fit with my interests in animals and my Zoology major. I also thought it would be a good fit for me as I did not want to sit in a rainforest somewhere scratching mosquito bites so that I could study chimpanzee behavior. I entered graduate school to do comparative cognition work and I began working with both my undergraduate mentor, Sally Boysen, and her collaborator, Gary Berntson. Gary at that point was doing some work with autonomic responses in humans who were unable to communicate (“locked-in syndrome”), using psychophysiology as a way to demonstrate the ability (or inability) of these individuals to respond to stimuli in the world via the cardiac orienting response. He and I began some discussions about doing related work in rats that would enable us to determine both the sympathetic and parasympathetic autonomic features of this response. I found the literature in autonomic physiology and psychophysiology fascinating, and it didn’t take long before I shifted gears to the autonomic work solely, working with Gary, and leaving comparative psychology behind. My peripheral physiological work in animals broadened when Gary suggested that I also train with John Cacioppo, a recently hired faculty member at Ohio State University. My training in human psychophysiology turned out to be especially fortuitous as my increasingly severe allergy to rat dander limited my animal work, and eventually I made the move to working exclusively with human subjects.

2. Your research investigates basic affective processes as well as more applied health psychology questions. How do these two lines of research inform and influence one another? How do you see psychophysiological principles applied in your work with the Department of Veterans Affairs?

To start with your last question, I have used psychophysiological measures in my applied work with military personnel/veterans. For example, in my prospective longitudinal study in post-9/11 veterans, I used laboratory stressor tasks and cardiovascular measures to assess reactivity across multiple tasks as a predictor of later self-reported health. Those with greater blood pressure reactivity before their deployment had better self-reported health after deployment about one year later (McAndrew et al., 2013). This perhaps counterintuitive result is in line with newer work by Anna Phillips and Doug Carroll suggesting that greater cardiovascular reactivity is not always associated with poorer health outcomes. In some newly funded work, we will be using a mobile device to measure sleep parameters in veterans with insomnia. This home-based device can provide some general staging of sleep states (e.g., REM, light
My work in basic affective science currently is guided by a constructionist model, the Conceptual Act Theory (CAT) which I am working on with my collaborator, Lisa Feldman Barrett who originated the theory (see www.affective-science.org for papers). Psychological construction relies on the kind of population thinking that is popular in scientific accounts of the biological world where categories (such as species) have fuzzy boundaries. This theory proposes that emotions are not physical (morphological) types, but are cognitive categories that contain a variety of unique instances. The Conceptual Act Theory is an example of the population thinking approach, where mental states like emotions are constructed moment to moment using basic processes (or ingredients) that integrate and make sense of sensory information from the world and from the body using stored representations from the past. One important feature of the theory is that it utilizes neuroscientific evidence demonstrating that the brain is a “predictive organ.” This means that healthy humans (and other mammals) enter new situations utilizing their prior knowledge which leads to expectations about what one is likely to encounter in any new situation, thereby shaping what we perceive and how we act. The CAT has a strong emphasis on context in affective and emotional responses as well as an emphasis on individual differences that informs the design of my applied work. For example, I view poor sleep habits in many veterans as having their origins in the requirements of their deployment job and environmental context. During a combat or other hazardous deployment, sleep schedules are often very different than in the home context to meet the needs of a mission. Once the individual returns home, however, it can be hard to re-set their sleep schedule and sleep habits. This is especially true alongside the many other changes they are experiencing (new work or school demands, a return to family demands, new demands to pay rent, wash laundry, cook food, and complete other household chores that the military takes care of for deployed service members). Couple this very major shift from deployment to home with psychological distress arising from combat exposure, or physical or psychological injury and it’s easy to see why deployment and returning home can be so disruptive. Habits formed during deployment, like swerving across the center yellow line to avoid a possible explosive device on the side of the road, can result in embarrassment, distress or even injury in the context of the typical interstate highway with your family in the car. Retraining one’s expectations and predictions in line with the familiar, but now changed context of the home environment takes time and support. Before I began working with Lisa on the CAT, I was using another constructionist theoretical model, Howard Leventhal’s Commonsense Model of Self-Regulation, to guide our work on health in veterans, a group I feel very honored to serve. So, I see significant cross-fertilization both methodologically and theoretically between my lines of work.

3. You have had much success in funding your research with grants through organizations such as NSF, NIH, VA and many others. What advice do you have for those trying to find support for their research?

Writing grants is a skill like any other, and therefore takes practice. Further, grant proposals have a different structure than scientific manuscripts. We write empirical papers by stating a hypothesis, presenting evidence, and then drawing conclusions from that evidence. Although we start with a hypothesis, I would argue that the crux of an empirical paper is mostly about the inductive process of making a broader statement, or conclusion, based on specific new evidence. The clearest grant proposals are instead structured more deductively. Early in the proposal you first provide an overview that goes something like this: You describe and provide evidence showing that there is some larger, important problem that your proposed project will address (the significance). You then describe what we don’t yet know about the state of the world, and how if we did, it would address that important problem in a new way (the innovation). Then, you describe what is already known about the problem (the background). Finally, you describe how you will obtain novel data that will aid in solving the problem posed (the approach/methodology). The research plan then expands on this general overview. Also, when proposing basic research it is important to indicate how the research is likely to eventually lead to a solution to the larger, important problem, even if you will not solve the larger problem in that project.
Another critical part of successfully obtaining funding is to know your audience – both in the narrow sense of understanding who the reviewers are and in the broader sense of knowing the goals and priorities of the agency. For each different kind of grant proposal, it is important to find out how the review process works, what the review criteria are, and when possible, who, or at least, what kinds of individuals are likely to review the proposal (are they scientists in your specific area, psychologists or neuroscientists more broadly, or does the panel also include non-scientist stakeholders in the research process (e.g., as happens with some grants from the Department of Defense where non-scientists play a role in the review). In some cases, the names of individuals on review panels can be determined before you write the proposal (e.g., standing NIH panel member rosters can be found on-line). In other cases, you may be able to obtain this information by talking with a program officer. In determining the fit of your idea with agency goals and priorities, many program officers will provide some feedback on fit with their program if you provide them with a brief sketch of your idea. Program officers are very busy, so if they are willing to spare some time to talk with you, be prepared and focus on a few key questions. They, of course, cannot tell you whether something will be funded, but if the idea does not fit with their agency’s goals, they will tell you that, and that is crucial information to have up front.

Beyond federal funding sources, your own University may have funding available to help with supplies, equipment or other needed resources for your graduate work. Both NSF and NIH fund dissertation research. Writing a proposal to fund all or part of your graduate research is a great way to learn about the grant writing process while you still have the help of your graduate advisor, rather than doing it for the first time as a new faculty member. There are also foundations that fund research, although typically they have smaller budgets. Foundation sources may be useful for funding a small pilot study which can then provide that all-important pilot data for a larger, Federal grant. Finally, there are new crowdfunding sites that support small research projects, such as Experiment.com. These sites have not been around for long, and it will be interesting to see how these sites will impact science funding. Some of these ideas may only apply to those seeking funding in the American system, so if these don’t apply to you, talk with local colleagues for advice on how to obtain research funding where you work.

**SPR**

1. **As the president of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, what direction do you see the field going? How have you seen SPR change since you became involved?**

   It appears clear from my vantage (which of course will be dated in no time and reflects my own personal biases), that brain imaging measures are leading to important new knowledge in our field. Across the gamut from EEG/ERPs, fMRI, PET, SPECT, MEG to transcranial magnetic stimulation, optical imaging, optogenetics, and electrical neuroimaging, we now have several “mature” methods along with many newly emerging ones that permit us to make reasonable inferences about human brain function at multiple levels of analysis (sub-molecular, molecular, regional or network). These methods can provide ever greater specification of how psychological phenomena emerge from the brain (described at different levels of analysis) when the measures are combined with good experimental designs and analytic methods. In parallel with these developments, we are also gaining a greater appreciation for the role of the body in psychology, with more research in the last few years focused on interoception, or sensations from the viscera. For example, we are beginning to better understand how the brain instantiates interoception, including how disruptions in interoceptive systems may play a role in psychological disorders such as depression and anxiety.

Finally, I hope that in the next 10 years psychophysiologists will play a greater role in informing the “quantified self” movement and mobile health. Many ambulatory devices exist these days that measure signals traditionally measured in the laboratory by psychophysiologists. Some companies make rather wild claims about what those signals can tell us, often on the basis of data that is hidden behind a proprietary interface and claims are not substantiated by publically accessible scientific literature. In some preliminary work in our lab we find that some of these devices do not produce data of sufficient quality to make reasonable psychophysiological inferences even tested under laboratory conditions, whereas other devices do. It is important for psychophysiologists to engage in discussions with computer scientists, biomedical engineers, app, game and virtual reality system designers, and with those in the corporate sector because we have expertise that they need, and some of them have tools that, if open to scrutiny and validated against standard measures, we can use.
2. How has being a member of SPR influenced and furthered your research?

SPR has played different roles in my research at different points in my career. As a student, it was a place that I came to meet and get to know people whose work I knew and admired first just by reading it. Gary Berntson and John Cacioppo were great advisors, and were both active and visible members of SPR who introduced me to many people in the Society. What I especially liked and still do like about SPR, though, is its very non-hierarchical feel. At SPR meetings, I could introduce myself to those at a poster session or symposium even though that did not come naturally to me. At later points in my career, these same people whose work I admired became my colleagues and friends, and provided me with an incredible range of available expertise on which I could rely. I could (and still do) ask them methodological, conceptual and theoretical questions, and they willingly share their expertise. The SPR zeitgeist is incredibly collegial and I continue to find it the most incredibly expert and amazingly supportive group of scientists that I’ve had the privilege to know for the 25+ years that I have been a member.

3. What has been the highlight of your career thus far? Is there anything you would have done differently?

I hope that the highlight of my career is still ahead! Being honored by being elected SPR President is certainly among the highlights given my fondness for the scientists who make up SPR. I am also really enjoying my current work co-directing the Interdisciplinary Affective Science Lab at Northeastern University with Lisa Barrett and directing my work at the Bedford, MA VA hospital. The intellectual environment of both of my workplaces is a “dream come true”. I enjoy being part of truly exceptional interdisciplinary teams of scholars working on basic affective science questions that will change how we view emotion, and applied health psychology questions in support of better health for American military veterans.

There are always things one could do differently, and I would not have initially envisioned my career as it unfolded. However, I could not have done better in terms of the caliber of mentors, colleagues and collaborators I have had, and continue to have the privilege to work with. In that sense, it’s hard to envision an outcome better than my current life. I also hope and plan for many more interesting days to come, and I look forward with great anticipation to new scientific adventures.

Advice for Young Psychophysiologicals

1. What advice do you have for young psychophysiologicals on the job market? You have had success working as a research professor as well as in more applied settings such as the Department of Veterans Affairs. What can graduate students and post-doctoral researchers do to land the job of their dreams in either domain?

Never underestimate the power of your career network – it is THE way that you get a job. Networking works both ways – the implied social contract is that you do your best by those whom you asked to help you along the way. Part of that is done by “paying forward” the great mentoring you’ve had to your mentees. That means taking the time to listen to them, encouraging them to do their best, facilitating their doing their best work, and then helping them obtain the job they envision.

Regardless of whether your dream job is a tenure-track faculty job, or one of any number of other jobs that use your academic skills (e.g., researcher at a government lab, a clinical setting, a company, etc.), the more you have worked to hone your skills, the better your chances at landing that job. Today, it is not uncommon for individuals to change where they work multiple times in a career, so aim high and land the very best first job you can because that is the best opportunity to launch you into whatever you want to do. Because publishing impactful scientific papers in top-tier journals is the “coin of the realm” in our field, doing that has to be the top priority throughout your academic career, but is especially critical early on. Other opportunities will follow from publishing good quality, high impact work, and from having creative and testable research projects that answer important questions.
2. You have successfully mentored a number of graduate students and post-doctoral researchers. What is your approach to training young psychophysiologists? Do you have any advice for young faculty members who are just beginning to mentor graduate students?

Training starts with some basics in psychophysiological inference, an overview of the physiology being measured, then a lot of “measurement basics”. In our lab, we have people collecting many different kinds of data in many different paradigms, so we also have a psychophysiology reading group to read papers to learn more about new and interesting methods, analytic techniques, etc. It’s eclectic, and driven by lab members’ current needs. We also look at data a lot in this group and the group provides advice about visualizing and analyzing data.

Psychophysiology is best learned using an apprenticeship model. There are many details, and they are learned over time as you DO the work and as problems or issues become apparent. At first students need a lot of oversight, but then you have to eventually let them do it on their own. The goal in mentoring graduate students is to prepare them as well as possible for their future careers. That means they should be involved in as many aspects as possible, including setting up the lab, troubleshooting hardware and software problems, writing programs for running and analyzing experiments, reducing and analyzing data, writing papers, helping to write (and eventually writing their own) grant proposals, teaching/mentoring undergraduate students in the lab, giving presentations, and writing reviews. These are all skills needed in the first faculty job, and it makes that first job go more smoothly if these skills are already practiced before that day comes.

Other tips for good mentoring include: (1) help students work on clear and effective writing early and often (I find that many very smart students do not find that writing comes naturally, as it did not for me), (2) give feedback to students in a timely way, and (3) praise in public, punish in private. Graduate students need to know how to set-up and run a productive and efficient lab (including how to manage people), how to teach, and how to fund a lab. These days at least some minimal level of funding is required for most if not all, psychophysiological labs since we use expensive equipment or consumables, or have expensive participant costs (e.g., fMRI scans). Remember that your students are your legacy, so it’s important to send them into academia (or into jobs outside academia) prepared for what they will need to do.

REFERENCE

Updates from the Committee to Promote Student Interests

Are your needs being met? Want to get involved? Please feel free to contact members of the committee with suggestions, questions, comments, or to bond with a fellow psychophysioligist (Contact information available at: http://www.sprweb.org/student/contact/index.cfm)

Update from the International Students Subcommittee

Do you want to spend some time abroad in the lab of another SPR member to learn new skills and techniques? Currently we are working on installing a new exchange forum to facilitate getting in touch with host labs. We are also planning a kick-off meeting at the conference in Seattle where you can personally meet representatives of different host labs and discuss further issues relevant to international students. The meeting will take place during the morning coffee break on Thursday.

Update from the Membership Retention Subcommittee

We are currently analyzing SPR membership data to see which factors influence membership retention in the transition from student member to early career member to regular member. We're taking different variables into account, for example receiving travel awards or other grants and prizes from SPR. A summary of our results will be included in the student newsletter once we are finished.

Diversity Subcommittee

This subcommittee is new and will work with the Diversity Committee on issues that include travel funding, mentoring program for underrepresented groups, and other initiatives. If you have ideas, suggestions, or want to get involved, please contact subcommittee chair Kimberly Fleming (kafmrb@mizzou.edu).

Primarily Undergraduate Institution (PUI) Subcommittee

This subcommittee considers issues specific to PUIs and undergraduates, including training possibilities, funding opportunities, collaborations across institutions, etc. If you have ideas, suggestions, or want to get involved, please contact subcommittee chair Catherine Norris (cnorris2@swarthmore.edu).

Public Relations Subcommittee:

This subcommittee will work directly with the PR committee on SPR’s website redesign, establishing a better media presence, writing content for the webpage, possibly writing press releases for newly published articles, and brainstorming new/creative PR ideas. If you have ideas, suggestions, or want to get involved, please contact subcommittee chair Anna Weinberg (anna.weinberg@gmail.com).

Have an exciting opportunity for our student members or a fun student event planned for the 55th annual SPR conference in Seattle? We’d love to highlight it in our next newsletter! Contact Jolie Wormwood at: jbwormwood@gmail.com